

# Characterization of the $\mathcal{O}$ -Slice Filtration

Dietmar Wolz, Ingo Althöfer

February 10, 2026

## Abstract

We define the slice filtration on the category of  $G$ -spectra adapted to an incomplete transfer system  $\mathcal{O}$  (equivalently, an indexing system  $\mathcal{I}$ ). We state and prove a characterization of the  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice connectivity of a connective  $G$ -spectrum in terms of the connectivity of its geometric fixed points  $\Phi^H(X)$ .

## 1 Definitions and Setup

Let  $G$  be a finite group. An  $N_\infty$  operad  $\mathcal{O}$  is equivalent to the data of an *indexing system*  $\mathcal{I}$  [1]. For each subgroup  $H \leq G$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(H)$  is a collection of finite  $H$ -sets closed under finite coproducts, restriction to subgroups, and self-induction.

**Definition 1.1** (Admissible Slice Cells). For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , let  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$  be an admissible  $H$ -set. Let  $V_T = \mathbb{R}[T]$  denote the corresponding permutation representation. An  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice cell is a  $G$ -spectrum of the form

$$G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}, \quad H \leq G, \quad T \in \mathcal{I}(H).$$

We assign this cell a filtration degree equal to the cardinality  $|T|$ .

**Definition 1.2** ( $\mathcal{O}$ -Slice Filtration). For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}^G$  be the localizing subcategory generated by all  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice cells  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}$  satisfying

$$|T| \geq n.$$

A  $G$ -spectrum  $X$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice  $n$ -connective if  $X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ .

*Remark 1.3.* The definition of the filtration degree as  $|T|$  is a convention. Our explicit bound  $\lambda_H(n)$  below is derived specifically for this choice.

**Definition 1.4** (Connectivity Bound  $\lambda_H(n)$ ). For a subgroup  $H \leq G$  and integer  $n$ , define the bound  $\lambda_H(n)$  as:

$$\lambda_H(n) = \min \{ |T/H| \mid T \in \mathcal{I}(H), |T| \geq n \}.$$

Here  $|T/H|$  denotes the number of  $H$ -orbits in  $T$ , which corresponds to  $\dim((\mathbb{R}[T])^H)$ .

## 2 Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1** (Restriction). *For any subgroup  $K \leq G$ , restriction preserves  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice connectivity:*

$$\mathrm{Res}_K^G(\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}) \subseteq \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}$  be a generator with  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$  and  $|T| \geq n$ . By the Mackey decomposition:

$$\mathrm{Res}_K^G(G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}) \simeq \bigvee_{g \in K \backslash G/H} K_+ \wedge_{K \cap {}^g H} \mathrm{Res}_{K \cap {}^g H}^H(S^{V_T}).$$

Let  $M = K \cap {}^g H$ . The restriction of  $V_T$  is the permutation representation of  $\mathrm{Res}_M^H(T)$ . By the closure properties of indexing systems, if  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$ , then  $\mathrm{Res}_M^H(T) \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ . Thus, each summand is an  $\mathcal{O}|_K$ -slice cell. The filtration degree is preserved because restriction of the action does not change the cardinality of the underlying set:  $|\mathrm{Res}_M^H T| = |T| \geq n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** (Induction Compatibility). *If  $X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$  for a subgroup  $K \leq G$ , then  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show this for generators. Let  $K_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}$  be a generator for  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$ , where  $H \leq K$  and  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G(K_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}) \simeq G_+ \wedge_K (K_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}) \simeq G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}.$$

Since  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$ , this is a valid generator for  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  with dimension  $|T|$ . (Note: The self-induction axiom of indexing systems ensures that we can formally regard this as induced from the  $K$ -set  $K \times_H T \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ , ensuring compatibility with the structure of  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $K$ .)  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** (Proper Isotropy Reduction). *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of proper subgroups of  $G$ . For a connective  $G$ -spectrum  $X$ ,*

$$E\mathcal{P}_+ \wedge X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}} \iff \mathrm{Res}_K^G(X) \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K} \text{ for all } K < G.$$

*Proof.* The space  $E\mathcal{P}$  is a  $G$ -CW complex built from cells  $G/K \times D^m$  where  $K \in \mathcal{P}$ . Thus,  $E\mathcal{P}_+ \wedge X$  lies in the localizing subcategory generated by  $G/K_+ \wedge X \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_K^G \mathrm{Res}_K^G X$  for  $K < G$ . If  $\mathrm{Res}_K^G X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$ , then by Lemma 2.2, the induced term is in  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ . Since  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  is localizing,  $E\mathcal{P}_+ \wedge X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $E\mathcal{P}_+ \wedge X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ . Let  $K$  be a proper subgroup. For any subgroup  $J \leq K$ ,  $J$  is also a proper subgroup of  $G$  (since  $K < G$ ). Therefore, the fixed point space  $(E\mathcal{P})^J$  is contractible for all  $J \leq K$ . This implies that the restriction  $E\mathcal{P}|_K$  is  $K$ -equivariantly contractible. Consequently,  $\mathrm{Res}_K^G(E\mathcal{P}_+) \simeq S^0$ , and

$$\mathrm{Res}_K^G(E\mathcal{P}_+ \wedge X) \simeq \mathrm{Res}_K^G(E\mathcal{P}_+) \wedge \mathrm{Res}_K^G X \simeq S^0 \wedge \mathrm{Res}_K^G X \simeq \mathrm{Res}_K^G X.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the restriction of any element in  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  lies in  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{Res}_K^G X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** (Geometric Fixed Points of Generators). *For a generator  $C = G_+ \wedge_H S^{V_T}$  with  $T \in \mathcal{I}(H)$ , the geometric fixed points at  $G$  are:*

$$\Phi^G(C) \simeq \begin{cases} S^{(V_T)^G} & \text{if } H = G \\ * & \text{if } H < G \end{cases}$$

*The connectivity of  $\Phi^G(S^{V_T})$  is exactly  $\dim((V_T)^G) = |T/G|$  (the number of orbits).*

*Proof.* If  $H < G$ , the generator is induced from a proper subgroup, so its geometric fixed points vanish (as  $\Phi^G \circ \text{Ind}_H^G \simeq *$ ). If  $H = G$ ,  $\Phi^G$  is monoidal and  $\Phi^G(S^V) \cong S^{V^G}$ . For a permutation representation  $\mathbb{R}[T]$ , the fixed subspace is spanned by the orbit sums, so its dimension is  $|T/G|$ .  $\square$

### 3 Main Theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\mathcal{O}$  an  $N_\infty$  operad with indexing system  $\mathcal{I}$ . A connective  $G$ -spectrum  $X$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -slice  $n$ -connective ( $X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ ) if and only if for all  $H \leq G$ , the geometric fixed points  $\Phi^H(X)$  are  $\lambda_H(n)$ -connective.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the order of  $G$ . The base case  $|G| = 1$  is immediate as  $\lambda_1(n) = n$  and  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  is the standard Postnikov filtration. Assume the theorem holds for all proper subgroups of  $G$ .

**Step 1: Isotropy Separation.** Consider the isotropy separation sequence:

$$EP_+ \wedge X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \tilde{E}\mathcal{P} \wedge X.$$

Since  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  is a localizing subcategory,  $X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  if and only if both terms are in  $\tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Step 2: The Proper Part.** By Lemma 2.3,  $EP_+ \wedge X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Res}_K^G X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}|_K}$  for all proper  $K < G$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to  $K$ , this holds if and only if for all  $\bar{L} \leq K$ ,  $\Phi^{\bar{L}}(\text{Res}_K^G X) \simeq \Phi^{\bar{L}}(X)$  is  $\lambda_{\bar{L}}(n)$ -connective. As  $K$  ranges over all proper subgroups, this condition is equivalent to  $\Phi^H(X)$  being  $\lambda_H(n)$ -connective for all proper  $H < G$ .

**Step 3: The Geometric Part.** The term  $\tilde{E}\mathcal{P} \wedge X$  is geometric (trivial restriction to proper subgroups). Since smashing with  $\tilde{E}\mathcal{P}$  kills any generator induced from a proper subgroup (recall  $\Phi^G(\text{Ind}_H^G Y) \simeq *$  for  $H < G$ ),  $\tilde{E}\mathcal{P} \wedge X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  if and only if it lies in the localizing subcategory generated by cells  $S^{V_T}$  with  $T \in \mathcal{I}(G)$  and  $|T| \geq n$ .

For geometric spectra, the functor  $\Phi^G$  is conservative (detects equivalences) and preserves connectivity [2]. Thus, the condition is equivalent to  $\Phi^G(\tilde{E}\mathcal{P} \wedge X) \simeq \Phi^G(X)$  lying in the localizing subcategory of spectra generated by spheres  $S^{V_T^G}$  where  $|T| \geq n$ . It is a standard result that the localizing subcategory generated by spheres of dimension  $\geq k$  is precisely the category of  $k$ -connective spectra. Therefore, the condition is that  $\Phi^G(X)$  is  $k$ -connective where

$$k = \min\{\dim(V_T^G) \mid T \in \mathcal{I}(G), |T| \geq n\} = \min\{|T/G| \mid T \in \mathcal{I}(G), |T| \geq n\} = \lambda_G(n).$$

**Conclusion.** Combining Step 2 (conditions for  $H < G$ ) and Step 3 (condition for  $H = G$ ),  $X \in \tau_{\geq n}^{\mathcal{O}}$  if and only if  $\Phi^H(X)$  is  $\lambda_H(n)$ -connective for all  $H \leq G$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] A. J. Blumberg and M. A. Hill.  $N_\infty$  operads and the multiplicative norm. *Geometry & Topology*, 19(6):3683–3735, 2015.
- [2] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. On the non-existence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. *Annals of Mathematics*, 184(1):1–262, 2016.